CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A KAEHLER MANIFOLD. II

BANG-YEN CHEN

1. Introduction

A submanifold N in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} is called a CR-submanifold if (1) the maximal complex subspace \mathfrak{D}_x of the tangent space $T_x\tilde{M}$ containing in T_xN , $x\in N$, defines a differentiable distribution on N, and (2) the orthogonal complementary distribution \mathfrak{D}^\perp of \mathfrak{D} is a totally real distribution, i.e., $J\mathfrak{D}_x^\perp\subseteq T_x^\perp N$, $x\in N$, where J denotes the almost complex structure of \tilde{M} , and $T_x^\perp N$ the normal space of N in \tilde{M} at x.

In the first part of this series, we have obtained several fundamental results for CR-submanifolds. In the present part, we shall continue our study on such submanifolds. In particular, we prove that (a) the holomorphic distribution $\mathfrak D$ of any CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold is minimal (Proposition 3.9); (b) every leaf of the holomorphic distribution of a mixed foliate proper CR-submanifold in a complex hyperbolic space H^m is Einstein-Kaehlerian (Proposition 4.4); and (c) every CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in CP^m is either an anti-holomorphic submanifold in some totally geodesic CP^{h+p} of CP^m or a totally real submanifold (Theorem 5.11).

2. Preliminaries

Let \tilde{M}^m be a complex *m*-dimensional Kaehler manifold with complex structure J, and N be a real n-dimensional $(n \ge 2)$ Riemannian manifold isometrically immersed in \tilde{M}^m . We denote by $\langle \, , \, \rangle$ the metric tensor of \tilde{M}^m as well as that induced on N. Let ∇ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ be the covariant differentiations on N and \tilde{M} respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingartan formulas for N are given respectively by

(2.1)
$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y),$$

(2.2)
$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_{\xi} X + D_X \xi,$$

Communicated by K. Yano, March 5, 1981.

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to N, and ξ normal to N, where σ is the second fundamental form, and D the normal connection.

For any vector X tangent to N and ξ normal to N we put

$$(2.3) JX = PX + FX,$$

$$(2.4) J\xi = t\xi + f\xi$$

where PX and $t\xi$ (respectively, FX and $f\xi$) are the tangential (respectively, normal) components of JX and $J\xi$ respectively.

In the following we shall denote by $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ a complex m-dimensional complex-space-form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. We have

(2.5)
$$\tilde{R}(X,Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{ \langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle X, Z \rangle Y + \langle JY, Z \rangle JX - \langle JX, Z \rangle JY + 2\langle X, JY \rangle JZ \}.$$

We denote by R and R^{\perp} the curvature tensors associated with ∇ and D respectively. A submanifold N is said to be flat (respectively, to have flat normal connection) if $R \equiv 0$ (respectively, $R^{\perp} \equiv 0$). For any vector fields X, Y, Z, W in the tangent bundle TN, and ξ, η in the normal bundle $T^{\perp}N$, the equations of Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci are given respectively by

(2.6)
$$R(X, Y; Z, W) = \tilde{R}(X, Y; Z, W) + \langle \sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z) \rangle - \langle \sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W) \rangle,$$

(2.7)
$$\tilde{R}(X,Y;Z,\xi) = \langle D_X \sigma(Y,Z) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y,Z) - \sigma(Y,\nabla_X Z), \xi \rangle - \langle D_Y \sigma(X,Z) - \sigma(\nabla_Y X,Z) - \sigma(X,\nabla_Y Z), \xi \rangle,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{R}(X,Y;\xi,\eta) = R^{\perp}(X,Y;\xi,\eta) - \langle \left[A_{\xi},A_{\eta}\right]X,Y\rangle,$$

where $R(X, Y; Z, W) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle, \dots$, etc.

Definition 2.1. A submanifold N of a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} is called a *CR-submanifold* if there is a differentiable distribution $\mathfrak{D}: x \to \mathfrak{D}_x \subseteq T_x N$ on N satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) \mathfrak{D} is holomorphic, i.e., $J\mathfrak{D}_x = \mathfrak{D}_x$ for each $x \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- (b) the complementary orthogonal distribution $\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}: x \to \mathfrak{D}_{x}^{\perp} \subseteq T_{x}N$ is totally real, i.e., $J\mathfrak{D}_{x}^{\perp} \subseteq T_{x}^{\perp}N$ for each $x \in N$.

If dim $\mathfrak{D}_x^{\perp} = 0$ (respectively, dim $\mathfrak{D}_x = 0$), N is called a *complex* (respectively, *totally real*) submanifold. A CR-submanifold is said to be *proper* if it is neither complex nor totally real.

For a CR-submanifold N we shall denote by ν the orthogonal complementary subbundle of $J^{\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}}$ in $T^{\perp}N$. We have

$$(2.9) T^{\perp} N = J \mathfrak{N}^{\perp} \oplus \nu, \quad \nu_{\chi} = T_{\chi}^{\perp} N \cap J(T_{\chi}^{\perp} N).$$

A subbundle μ of the normal bundle is said to be parallel if $D_x \xi \in \mu$ for any vector $X \in TN$ and section ξ in μ .

A CR-submanifold N in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} is said to be anti-holomorphic if $T_x^{\perp} N = J \mathfrak{D}_x^{\perp}$, $x \in N$.

3. Some basic lemmas

First we recall some basic lemmas for later use.

Lemma 3.1 [4]. Let N be a CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then we have

$$\langle \nabla_U Z, X \rangle = \langle J A_{JZ} U, X \rangle,$$

$$(3.2) A_{IZ}W = A_{IW}Z,$$

$$(3.3) A_{J\xi}X = -A_{\xi}JX$$

for any vector fields U tangent to N, X in \mathfrak{D} , Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , and ξ in ν .

Lemma 3.2 [4]. The totally real distribution \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} of any CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold is integrable.

Lemma 3.3 [1], [2], [4]. Let N be a CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then the holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{D} is integrable if and only if

(3.4)
$$\langle \sigma(X, JY), JZ \rangle = \langle \sigma(JX, Y), JZ \rangle$$

for any vectors X, Y in \mathfrak{N} , and Z in \mathfrak{N}^{\perp} .

Lemma 3.4 [2]. Let N be a CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then the leaves of \mathfrak{P}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in \tilde{M} if and only if

$$(3.5) \qquad \langle \sigma(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}), J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \rangle = \{0\}.$$

Lemma 3.5. Let N be a CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . We have the following statements:

(a) If the leaves of \mathfrak{I}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in \tilde{M} , then

(3.6)
$$\sigma(\mathfrak{D}^{\perp},\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}) = \{0\}, \quad \langle \sigma(\mathfrak{D},\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}), J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \rangle = \{0\},$$

(3.7)
$$\tilde{H}_B(X,Z) = 2\|\sigma(X,Z)\|^2 + 2\langle A_{JZ}JX, JA_{JZ}X\rangle$$

for any unit vectors X in \mathfrak{D} , and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , where \tilde{H}_B denotes the holomorphic bisectional curvature of \tilde{M} .

(b) If (3.6) holds, the leaves of \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in \tilde{M} .

Proof. Let N be a CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} is integrable (Lemma 3.2). Let N^{\perp} be a leaf of \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . We denote by σ^{\perp} and σ'' the second fundamental form of N^{\perp} in \tilde{M} and N, respectively. We have

$$\sigma^{\perp}(Z,W) = \sigma''(Z,W) + \sigma(Z,W)$$

for any vectors Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . Thus, by Lemma 3.4, the leaves of \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in \tilde{M} , if and only if (3.6) holds.

Assume that the leaves of \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in \tilde{M} . For any vector fields X, Y in \mathfrak{D} and Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , equation (2.7) of Codazzi and (3.5) give

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R}(X,Y;Z,JW) &= \langle D_X \sigma(Y,Z) - \sigma(Y,\nabla_X Z), JW \rangle \\ &- \langle D_Y \sigma(X,Z) - \sigma(X,\nabla_Y Z), JW \rangle, \\ &= \langle \sigma(X,Z), J\tilde{\nabla}_Y W \rangle - \langle \sigma(Y,Z), J\tilde{\nabla}_X W \rangle \\ &+ \langle A_{JW} X, \nabla_Y Z \rangle - \langle A_{JW} Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma(X,Z), J\sigma(Y,W) \rangle - \langle \sigma(Y,Z), J\sigma(X,W) \rangle \\ &+ \langle A_{JW} X, \nabla_Y Z \rangle - \langle A_{JW} Y, \nabla_X Z \rangle. \end{split}$$

Thus by applying (3.5) and Lemma 4.1 we find

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y;Z,JW) = \langle \sigma(X,Z), \sigma(JY,W) \rangle - \langle \sigma(Y,Z), \sigma(JX,W) \rangle + \langle A_{IW}X, JA_{IZ}Y \rangle - \langle A_{IW}Y, JA_{IZ}X \rangle,$$

from which we obtain (3.7).

Corollary 3.6. Let N be a proper anti-holomorphic submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^{h+p}$. If the leaves of \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in $\mathbb{C}P^{h+p}$, then the holomorphic distribution is not integrable.

This corollary follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5.

For the holomorphic distribution 9, we have

Lemma 3.7. Let N be a CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then

(1) the holomorphic distribution is integrable, and its leaves are totally geodesic in N if and only if

$$(3.8) \qquad \langle \sigma(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}), J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \rangle = \{0\},$$

(2) the holomorphic distribution is integrable, and its leaves are totally geodesic in \tilde{M} if and only if

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{D},\mathfrak{D}) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Let N be a CR-submanifold in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . If (3.8) holds, then also (3.4). Thus the holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{D} is integrable (Lemma 3.3). Moreover, from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$\langle \nabla_X Z, JY \rangle = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_X Z, JY \rangle = -\langle \tilde{\nabla}_X JZ, Y \rangle$$

$$= -\langle A_{IZ} X, Y \rangle = -\langle \sigma(X, Y), JZ \rangle = 0$$

for any vector fields X, Y in \mathfrak{D} , and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . Thus the leaves of \mathfrak{D} are totally geodesic in N. The converse of this has been proved in [4].

Statement (2) follows from statement (1) and the following identity

$$\sigma^{T}(X,Y) = \sigma'(X,Y) + \sigma(X,Y)$$

for any vectors X, Y in \mathfrak{D} , where σ' and σ^T are the second fundamental forms of any leaf N^T of \mathfrak{D} in N and \tilde{M} respectively.

Let $\mathcal H$ be a differentiable distribution on a CR-submanifold N ($\mathcal H$: $x \to \mathcal H_x$ $\subseteq T_x N, x \in N$). We put

(3.10)
$$\mathring{\sigma}(X,Y) = (\nabla_X Y)^{\perp}$$

for any vector fields X, Y in \mathcal{H} , where $(\nabla_X Y)^{\perp}$ denotes the component of $\nabla_X Y$ in the orthogonal complementary distribution \mathcal{H}^{\perp} in N. Then the Frobenius theorem gives the following

Lemma 3.8. The distribution $\mathbb H$ is integrable if and only if $\mathring{\sigma}$ is a symmetric on $\mathbb H \times \mathbb H$.

Let X_1, \dots, X_r be an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H} . We put

$$\mathring{H} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathring{\sigma}(X_i, X_i).$$

Then \mathring{H} is a well-defined vector field on N (up to sign). We call \mathring{H} the mean-curvature vector of the distribution \mathfrak{R} .

A distribution \mathcal{H} on N is said to be *minimal* if the mean curvature vector \mathring{H} of \mathcal{H} vanishes identically, and \mathcal{H} is said to be totally geodesic if $\mathring{\sigma} \equiv 0$.

Proposition 3.9. Let N be a CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . Then

- (a) the holomorphic distribution $\mathfrak D$ is minimal, and
- (b) the distribution $\mathfrak D$ is totally geodesic if and only if $\mathfrak D$ is integrable, and its leaves are totally geodesic in N.

Proof. Let N be a CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} . For any vector fields X in \mathfrak{D} , and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , Lemma 3.1 gives

$$(3.11) \langle Z, \nabla_X X \rangle = \langle A_{JZ} X, JX \rangle.$$

Thus we have

$$(3.12) \langle Z, \nabla_{IX}JX \rangle = -\langle A_{IZ}X, JX \rangle.$$

Combining (3.11) and (3.12) we obtain

(3.13)
$$\langle \nabla_X X + \nabla_{JX} JX, Z \rangle = 0.$$

This implies statement (a). Statement (b) follows from (3.10) and Lemma 3.8.

4. Mixed foliate CR-submanifolds

Definition 4.1. A CR-submanifold is said to be mixed totally geodesic if $\sigma(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}) = \{0\}.$

Definition 4.2. A CR-submanifold N in a Kaehler manifold \tilde{M} is said to be $mixed\ foliate$, if it is mixed totally geodesic, and its holomorphic distribution is integrable.

In [2], Bejancu, Kon and Yano proved that there is no mixed foliate proper CR-submanifold in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ with c > 0. In [4] the author proved that a CR-submanifold in C^m is mixed foliate if and only if N is a CR-product (for anti-holomorphic case, see [2]).

In this section, we shall study mixed foliate CR-submanifolds in a complex hyperbolic space H^m . For simplicity, we assume that H^m is a complex m-dimensional complex hyperbolic space with constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4.

Lemma 4.1. Let N be a mixed foliate CR-submanifold in H^m . Then for any unit vectors $X \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$,

$$||A_{IZ}X|| = 1,$$

where $h = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{D}$, and $p = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$. The equality sign in (4.2) holds if and only if (a) the leaves of \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in H^m , and (b) $\operatorname{Im} \sigma = J \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$.

Proof. Let N be a mixed foliate CR-submanifold in H^m . Then Lemma 9.1 of [4] gives

(4.3)
$$\tilde{H}_B(X,Z) = -2\|A_{JZ}X\|^2,$$

for any unit vectors X in \mathfrak{D} , and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . This gives (4.1).

Inequality (4.2) follows immediately from (4.1). From (4.1) it is clear that $\|\sigma\| = 2hp$ if and only if we have

$$(4.4) Im \sigma = J\mathfrak{I}^{\perp},$$

$$(4.5) A_{J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}}\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} = \{0\}.$$

The lemma thus follows from Lemma 3.5.

Let N be a mixed foliate CR-submanifold in H^m , and N^T a leaf of the holomorphic distribution \mathfrak{P} . Then N^T is a Kaehler submanifold of H^m . We denote by σ^T , D^T , \cdots , etc. the second fundamental form, the normal connection, \cdots , etc. for N^T in H^m , and by σ' , D', \cdots , etc. the corresponding quantities for N^T in N. Then we have

(4.6)
$$\sigma^{T}(X,Y) = \sigma'(X,Y) + \sigma(X,Y)$$

for X, Y in TN^T . For any Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , this implies

$$(4.7) \quad \langle A_Z^T X, Y \rangle = \langle J \sigma^T (X, Y), J Z \rangle = \langle \sigma (J X, Y), J Z \rangle = \langle A_{JZ} J X, Y \rangle,$$

(4.8)
$$\langle A_{JZ}^T X, Y \rangle = \langle \sigma(X, Y), JZ \rangle = \langle A_{JZ} X, Y \rangle.$$

Because N is mixed foliate, these give

(4.9)
$$A_Z^T X = A_{JZ} J X, \quad A_{JZ}^T X = A_{JZ} X.$$

Moreover, for any unit vector fields X in \mathfrak{D} , and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , we have that

$$(4.10) J\nabla_X Z = \tilde{\nabla}_X JZ = -A_{IZ} X + D_X JZ,$$

so that

$$(4.11) D_X JZ = F \nabla_X Z.$$

From

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X JZ = -A_{JZ}^T X + D_X^T JZ$$

we also get

$$(4.12) D_X^T J Z = D_X J Z.$$

Let η be any normal vector field in ν (for the definition of ν , see (2.9)) and X, Y any tangent vector fields in \mathfrak{D} , (2.5), (4.11) and (4.12) imply

$$\tilde{R}(X,Y;JZ,\eta)=0,$$

(4.14)
$$R_T^{\perp}(X, Y; JZ, \eta) = 0.$$

Combining these with equation (2.7) of Codazzi we obtain

(4.15)
$$\left[A_{JZ}^T, A_{\eta}^T \right] = 0 \quad \text{for } \eta \in \nu, z \in \mathfrak{I}^{\perp}.$$

Because N^T is a Kaehler submanifold, $A_{J\eta}^T = JA_{\eta}^T = -A_{\eta}^T J$. Thus by using (4.15) we have

$$0 = A_{JZ}^T A_{\eta}^T - A_{\eta}^T A_{JZ}^T = J \left(A_{\eta}^T A_{JZ}^T + A_{JZ}^T A_{\eta}^T \right).$$

Since J is nonsingular, this gives

(4.16)
$$A_n^T A_{JZ}^T + A_{JZ}^T A_n^T = 0.$$

Combining (4.15) and (4.16) we have

$$A_{JZ}^T A_{\eta}^T = 0.$$

Because N is mixed foliate, A_{JZ} $\mathfrak{N}\subseteq\mathfrak{N}$ for any Z in \mathfrak{N}^{\perp} . Thus using Lemma 4.1 and (4.9) we get

(4.18)
$$||A_Z^T X|| = ||A_{JZ}^T X|| = 1$$

for any unit vectors X in TN^T , and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . By linearity, this implies

$$\langle A_{IZ}^T X, A_{IZ}^T Y \rangle = 0$$

for orthogonal vectors X, Y in TN^T . From (4.18) and (4.19) we find

$$(4.20) A_Z^T, A_{JZ}^T \in O(2h).$$

In particular, A_{JZ}^T is nonsingular. Thus we have, in consequence of (4.17), $A_{\eta}^T = 0$ for any vector η in ν . Since N is mixed foliate, (2.1) and (2.2) give

$$-A_Z^TX + D_X^TZ = \tilde{\nabla}_X Z = \nabla_X Z = -A_Z'X + D_X'Z.$$

from which we find $D_X^T Z = D_X' Z$. This shows that the normal subbundle $\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}|_{N^T}$ is a parallel subbundle of the normal bundle of N^T in H^m . Therefore we have

$$(4.21) R_T^{\perp}(X,Y;Z,JW) = 0$$

for any vector fields X, Y in TN^T , and Z, W in $\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}|_{N^T}$. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_p be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{D}_x^{\perp} , $x \in N^T$. (2.5), (4.21) and the Ricci equation for N^T in H^m give

(4.22)
$$\left[A_{Z_n}^T, A_{JZ_n}^T \right] = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \neq \beta, \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, p.$$

Since $A_{JZ}^T J = -J A_{JZ}^T$, (4.20) shows that A_{JZ}^T has two eigenvalues 1 and -1 with the same multiplicity h. We put

$$V_1 = \left\{ X \in T_x N \, | \, A_{JZ_1}^T X = X \right\}.$$

Thus, for any $X \in V_1$, (4.22) gives

$$A_{JZ_1}^T A_{Z_\alpha}^T X = A_{Z_\alpha}^T A_{JZ_1}^T X = A_{Z_\alpha}^T X, \quad \alpha = 2, \dots, p.$$

Moreover, for any unit vector X in V_1 , (4.22) implies that $A_{Z_a}^T X$, $\alpha = 2, \dots, p$ lie in V_1 , which are orthonormal by (4.18). Consequently, we obtain $p \le h + 1$.

From (4.22), we may also get

$$A_{Z_{\alpha}}^T A_{Z_{\beta}}^T + A_{Z_{\beta}}^T A_{Z_{\alpha}}^T = 0$$
 for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

From the equation of Gauss and (2.5), the sectional curvature K of N satisfies

(4.23)
$$K(X,Z) = -1 + \langle \sigma(X,X), \sigma(Z,Z) \rangle$$

for any unit vectors X in \mathfrak{D} , and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . Since N is mixed foliate, we also have

$$K(JX, Z) = -1 - \langle \sigma(X, X), \sigma(Z, Z) \rangle.$$

Combining this with (4.23) gives

$$K(X,Z) + K(JX,Z) = -2.$$

By summarizing the above facts we can state the next lemma.

Lemma 4.2. Let N be a mixed foliate CR-submanifold in H^m . Then

(a)
$$D_X^T J Z = D_X J Z = F \nabla_X Z$$
,

(b)
$$D_X^T Z = D_X' Z = -t D_X J Z$$
,

(c)
$$\operatorname{Im} \sigma^T = \mathfrak{I}^{\perp} \oplus J \mathfrak{I}^{\perp}$$
,

$$(d) A_Z^T, A_{JZ}^T \in O(2h),$$

(e)
$$p \leq h+1$$
,

(f)
$$A_{Z}^{T}A_{W}^{T} + A_{W}^{T}A_{Z}^{T} = 0$$
,

(g) K(X, Z) + K(JX, Z) = -2, for any unit vector field X in TN^T , and orthonormal vector fields Z, W in \mathfrak{I}^{\perp} .

From Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 3 of [2] we have the following.

Lemma 4.3. Let N be a mixed foliate proper CR-submanifold of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Then c < 0 and p > 1.

Proof. Let N be a mixed foliate proper CR-submanifold of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Then Proposition 3 of [2] implies c < 0. If p = 1, then, for any unit vector field Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , statement (b) of Lemma 4.2 implies $D_X^T Z = D_X' Z = 0$. Hence, Z is a parallel normal vector field of the complex submanifold N^T in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, c < 0. This contradicts a theorem of Chen and Ogiue [5].

Proposition 4.4. Let N be a mixed foliate proper CR-submanifold of H^m . Then

- (a) each leaf N^T of \mathfrak{D} lies in a complex (h + p)-dimensional totally geodesic complex submanifold H^{h+p} of H^m ,
- (b) each leaf N^T is an Einstein-Kaehler submanifold of H^{h+p} with Ricci tensor given by

(4.24)
$$S^{T}(X,Y) = -2(h+p+1)\langle X,Y \rangle,$$

- (c) $h + 1 \ge p \ge 2$; $h \ge 2$, and
- (d) the leaves of \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} are totally geodesic in N.

Proof. Lemma 4.2 implies that the first normal space $\operatorname{Im} \sigma^T$ is nothing but $\mathfrak{D}^\perp \oplus J \mathfrak{D}^\perp$. Since $\mathfrak{D}^\perp \oplus J \mathfrak{D}^\perp$ is a parallel normal subbundle of the normal bundle of N^T in H^m , by a theorem of Chen and Ogiue [5], N^T lies in a complex (h+p)-dimensional totally geodesic submanifold H^{h+p} of H^m . Thus (a) is proved.

Since N^T is a Kaehler submanifold of H^m , equation (2.8) of Gauss gives

$$S^{T}(X,Y) = -2(h+1)\langle X,Y\rangle - \sum \langle A_{\xi_{\alpha}}^{T}X, A_{\xi_{\alpha}}^{T}Y\rangle,$$

where ξ_{α} 's form an orthonormal basis of $T^{\perp} N^{T}$. Thus by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 we obtain

$$S^{T}(X, X) = -2(h + p + 1)\langle X, X \rangle,$$

which implies (4.24).

If $h = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{D} = 1$, then from statement (b) it follows that N^T is of constant curvature -2(p+2). Since N^T is a Kaehler submanifold of H^m , a theorem of Calabi [4] gives that p = 0. This is a contradiction. The remaining part of this proposition follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 4.3.

Theorem 4.5. Let N be a mixed foliate CR-submanifold of H^m . If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N \leq 5$, then N is either a complex submanifold or a totally real submanifold.

This theorem follows immediately from statement (c) of Proposition 4.4.

Remark 4.1. The author believes that Theorem 4.5 holds for any mixed foliate CR-submanifold of H^m . However, he is unable to prove it at this moment.

5. Semi-flat normal connection

First we recall the following definition [6].

Definition 5.1. A CR-submanifold N in a complex-space-form $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ is said to have semi-flat normal connection if its normal curvature tensor R^{\perp} satisfies

(5.1)
$$R^{\perp}(X,Y;\xi,\eta) = \frac{c}{2}\langle X,PY\rangle\langle J\xi,\eta\rangle$$

for any vectors X, Y in TN, and ξ , η in T^{\perp} N.

The main purpose of this section is to classify CR-submanifolds with semi-flat normal connection.

Lemma 5.1. A CR-submanifold N in a complex-space-form $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ has semi-flat normal connection if and only if

(5.2)
$$\langle [A_{\xi}, A_{\eta}]X, Y \rangle = \frac{c}{4} \{ \langle JX, \xi \rangle \langle JY, \eta \rangle - \langle JX, \eta \rangle \langle JY, \xi \rangle \}$$

for any vectors X, Y in TN, and ξ , η in T^{\perp} N.

This lemma follows from Definition 5.1 and the equation of Ricci.

From Lemma 5.1 we obtain the following.

Lemma 5.2. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. Then

(5.3)
$$\langle \left[A_{\xi}, A_{\eta} \right] X, U \rangle = 0,$$

(5.4)
$$\langle [A_{\xi}, A_{\eta}]Z, W \rangle = \frac{c}{4} \{ \langle JZ, \zeta \rangle \langle JW, \eta \rangle - \langle JZ, \eta \rangle \langle JW, \xi \rangle \}$$

for any vectors U in TN, X in \mathfrak{D} , Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , and ξ , η in $T^{\perp}N$.

Moreover, we also have

Lemma 5.3. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. Then

$$(5.5) A_{\nu} \mathfrak{N} = \{0\},$$

$$\langle A_{J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}}\mathfrak{D}, A_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathfrak{D}^{\perp} \rangle = \{0\},\,$$

where $v_x = T_x^{\perp} N \cap J(T_x^{\perp} N), x \in N$.

Proof. From Lemmas 3.1 and 5.2 we have

$$0 = \langle [A_{\xi}, A_{J\xi}] X, JX \rangle = -\|A_{\xi}JX\|^{2} - \|A_{\xi}X\|^{2}$$

for any vectors X in \mathfrak{D} , and ξ in ν . Thus we get (5.5). Formula (5.6) follows from (5.4) and (5.5).

Lemma 5.4 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.4. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. If there is a ξ in ν such that $A_{\xi}\mathfrak{N}^{\perp}=\mathfrak{N}^{\perp}$, then N is mixed totally geodesic.

From Lemma 5.2 we have

Lemma 5.5. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection. Then

(5.7)
$$||A_{JZ}W||^2 = \frac{c}{4} + \langle A_{JZ}Z, A_{JW}W \rangle$$

for orthonormal vectors Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} .

Proof. For orthonormal vectors Z and W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , Lemma 5.2 gives

$$\frac{c}{4} = \langle [A_{JZ}, A_{JW}]Z, W \rangle = \langle A_{JZ}W, A_{JW}W \rangle - \langle A_{JZ}Z, A_{JW}W \rangle.$$

Thus by using Lemma 3.1 we obtain (5.7).

Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. By Lemma 5.3 we obtain $A_p \mathfrak{D} = \{0\}$. Define an endomorphism

$$\tilde{A}_{\xi} \colon \mathfrak{D}_{x}^{\perp} \to \mathfrak{D}_{x}^{\perp}$$

by

for any vectors ξ in ν_x , and Z in \mathfrak{D}_x^{\perp} . Then \tilde{A}_{ξ} is self-adjoint.

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be the distinct eigenvalues of \tilde{A}_{ξ} , and V_1, \dots, V_r the corresponding eigenspaces. Then we have

(5.9)
$$\mathfrak{D}_{x}^{\perp} = V_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{r}, \langle V_{i}, V_{j} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Lemma 5.6. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Then, for any ξ in ν , \tilde{A}_{ξ} is proportional to the identity endomorphism.

Proof. Under the hypothesis, Lemma 5.2 implies

$$(5.10) \qquad \langle A_{\xi}W, A_{IZ}Y \rangle = \langle A_{\xi}Y, A_{IZ}W \rangle$$

for any vectors ξ in ν , and Y, Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . If \tilde{A}_{ξ} is not proportional to the identity endomorphism, $r \geq 2$. Let $Z = W = Z_i \in V_i$, $Y = Z_j \in V_j$, for $i \neq j$. Then (5.10) and Lemma 3.1 imply

$$\langle A_{JZ_j}Z_i, Z_i\rangle = 0.$$

By linearity we have

(5.12)
$$\langle A_{JZ} V_i, V_i \rangle = \{0\} \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

Putting
$$W = Z_i \in V_i$$
, $Y = Z_j \in V_j$ and $Z = Z_k \in V_k$ for $i \neq j$, (5.10) gives $\lambda_i \langle A_{JZ_k} Z_j, Z_i \rangle = \lambda_j \langle A_{JZ_k} Z_j, Z_i \rangle$ for $i \neq j$,

which implies

$$(5.13) A_{JZ_k} V_j \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \oplus V_j.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.3 we obtain

$$0 = \langle A_{JZ_{\iota}}X, A_{\xi}Z_{j}\rangle = \lambda_{j}\langle A_{JZ_{\iota}}Z_{j}, X\rangle$$

for any vectors X in \mathfrak{N} , $Z_j \in V_j$, and $Z_k \in V_k$. This shows that $A_{JZ_k}V_j \subseteq \mathfrak{N}^{\perp}$ if $\lambda_j \neq 0$. Combining this with (5.13) yields

(5.14)
$$A_{JZ_i}V_i \subseteq V_i$$
 whenever $\lambda_i \neq 0$.

From (5.12) and (5.14) we get

(5.15)
$$A_{JZ_i}V_i = 0 \text{ if } j \neq i \text{ and } \lambda_i \neq 0.$$

Since A_{ξ} has at least two distinct eigenvalues, we may assume that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$. From (5.7) of Lemma 5.5 and (5.15) we have

(5.16)
$$0 = ||A_{JZ_2}Z_1||^2 = \frac{c}{4} + \langle A_{JZ_2}Z_2, A_{JZ_1}Z_1 \rangle.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 3.1 and (5.12) imply

$$0 = \langle A_{JZ_i} Z_i, Z_i \rangle = \langle A_{JZ_i} Z_i, Z_j \rangle \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Combining this with (5.14) we find

$$(5.17) A_{JZ_i}Z_i \in \mathfrak{I} \oplus V_i.$$

Since $A_{JZ_1}Z_1 \in V_1$ by (5.14), equations (5.16) and (5.17) give c = 0. This is a contradiction.

From Lemmas 5.3 and 5.6 we immediately have the following.

Lemma 5.7. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Then for any $x \in N$, there is a unit normal vector $\bar{\eta} \in v_x$ such that

$$(5.18) A_{\bar{\eta}}X = 0, \quad A_{\bar{\eta}}Z = \lambda Z,$$

$$(5.19) A_{\varepsilon} = 0$$

for any vectors X in \mathfrak{D}_x , Z in \mathfrak{D}_x , and ξ in ν_x with $\langle \xi, \tilde{\eta} \rangle = 0$.

Lemma 5.8. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If λ is nowhere zero on N, then N is mixed foliate.

Proof. Under the hypothesis, Lemmas 5.4 and 5.7 imply that N is mixed totally geodesic.

For any vector fields X, Y in \mathfrak{D} , Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , and ξ in $T^{\perp}N$, equation (2.9) of Codazzi gives

$$ilde{R}(X,Y;Z,\xi) = \langle \sigma([X,Y],Z), \xi \rangle + \langle \sigma(X,\nabla_Y Z) - \sigma(Y,\nabla_X Z), \xi \rangle.$$

In particular, if we choose ξ to be the vector $\bar{\eta}$ of Lemma 5.7, we can reduce this to

$$0 = \langle \sigma([X, Y], Z), \bar{\eta} \rangle = \lambda \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle$$

by applying (2.6) and Lemma 5.7. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, this shows that the holomorphic distribution is integrable.

Lemma 5.9. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$.

(1) Then λ is constant, and for any vectors X, Y in TN and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} we have

(5.20)
$$F(R(X,Y)Z) = \sigma(X, P\nabla_{Y}Z) - \sigma(Y, P\nabla_{X}Z) + \lambda^{2}\{\langle Y, Z\rangle FX - \langle X, Z\rangle FY\},$$

$$(5.21) D_X JZ = F \nabla_X Z + \lambda \langle X, Z \rangle J \bar{\eta},$$

(2) If $\lambda = 0$, then N lies in a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^{h+p}(c)$ of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ as an anti-holomorphic submanifold.

(3) If $\lambda \neq 0$, then N is a mixed foliate CR-submanifold with $fD\bar{\eta} = 0$.

Proof. For any vectors X, Y in TN, and Z in \mathfrak{I}^{\perp} , we have

$$-A_{JZ}X + D_XJZ = J \nabla_X Z + \sigma(X, Z).$$

Thus

$$(5.22) D_X JZ = F \nabla_X Z + f\sigma(X, Z).$$

By applying Lemma 5.7, this gives

$$(5.23) D_X JZ = F \nabla_X Z + \lambda \langle X, Z \rangle J \bar{\eta}.$$

Therefore by considering the normal component of $\tilde{\nabla}_X D_Y JZ$ we obtain

(5.24)
$$D_{X}D_{Y}JZ = D_{X}(F\nabla_{Y}Z) + X(\lambda\langle Y, Z\rangle)J\bar{\eta} \\ -\lambda^{2}\langle Y, Z\rangle FX + \lambda\langle Y, Z\rangle fD_{X}\bar{\eta}.$$

On the other hand, by equation (3.9) of [4] and Lemma 8.1 of [4] we have

$$D_X(F \nabla_Y Z) = f\sigma(X, \nabla_Y Z) - \sigma(X, P \nabla_Y Z) + F(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z).$$

Substituting this into (5.24) we obtain

$$D_X D_Y JZ = f\sigma(X, \nabla_Y Z) - \sigma(X, P \nabla_Y Z) + F(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z) + X(\lambda \langle Y, Z \rangle) J\bar{\eta} - \lambda \langle Y, Z \rangle \{ FX - fD_X \bar{\eta} \}.$$

Thus the normal curvature tensor R^{\perp} is given by

$$R^{\perp}(X,Y)JZ = F(R(X,Y)Z) + f\sigma(X,\nabla_{Y}Z) - f\sigma(Y,\nabla_{X}Z)$$
$$-\sigma(X,P\nabla_{Y}Z) + \sigma(Y,P\nabla_{X}Z) - \lambda\langle[X,Y],Z\rangle J\bar{\eta}$$
$$+ \{X(\lambda\langle Y,Z\rangle) - Y(\lambda\langle X,Z\rangle)\} J\bar{\eta}$$
$$-\lambda^{2} \{\langle Y,Z\rangle FX - \langle X,Z\rangle FY\}$$
$$+\lambda \{\langle Y,Z\rangle fD_{Y}\bar{\eta} - \langle X,Z\rangle fD_{Y}\bar{\eta}\}.$$

By applying Lemma 5.7 this gives

$$R^{\perp}(X,Y)JZ = F(R(X,Y)Z) - \lambda\{\langle PX, P\nabla_{Y}Z\rangle - \langle PY, P_{X}Z\rangle\}J\bar{\eta}$$
$$-\sigma(X, P\nabla_{Y}Z) + \sigma(Y, P\nabla_{Y}Z)$$
$$+\{(X\lambda)\langle Y, Z\rangle - \langle Y\lambda\rangle\langle X, Z\rangle\}J\bar{\eta}$$
$$-\lambda^{2}\{\langle Y, Z\rangle FX - \langle X, Z\rangle FY\}$$
$$+\lambda\{\langle Y, Z\rangle fD_{Y}\bar{\eta} - \langle X, Z\rangle fD_{Y}\bar{\eta}\}.$$

It follows from Lemma 5.7 that both $\sigma(X, P \nabla_Y Z)$ and $\sigma(Y, P \nabla_X Z)$ lie in $J \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$. Since $R^{\perp}(X, Y)JZ = 0$ by (5.1), equation (5.25) gives (5.20) and

(5.26)
$$(X\lambda)\langle Y, Z\rangle - (Y\lambda)\langle X, Z\rangle = \lambda\{\langle PX, P\nabla_Y Z\rangle - \langle PY, P\nabla_X Z\rangle\},$$

(5.27) $\lambda\{\langle Y, Z\rangle fD_X \bar{\eta} - \langle X, Z\rangle fD_Y \bar{\eta}\} = 0.$

If N is a complex submanifold of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, then $\mathfrak{D} = TN$ and $\nu = T^{\perp}N$. Lemma 5.5 shows that N is a totally geodesic complex submanifold of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$.

Now we assume that N is *not* a complex submanifold. We have $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} = p > 0$.

Case (a). If $\mu \equiv 0$, then we have $\text{Im } \sigma \subseteq J \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$. Moreover, for any vector fields X in TN, Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , and ξ in ν , Lemma 5.7 gives

$$0 = \langle \sigma(X, Z), \xi \rangle = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{Y} JZ, J\xi \rangle = \langle D_{X} JZ, J\xi \rangle.$$

Since this is true for all ξ in ν , $J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$ is a parallel normal subbundle. Because the first normal spaces of N lie in $J\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$, the fundamental theorem of submanifolds shows that N lies in a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^{h+p}(c)$ of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. In this case, N is an anti-holomorphic submanifold of $\tilde{M}^{h+p}(c)$.

Case (b). If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $N' = \{x \in N \mid \lambda(x) \neq 0\}$ is an open nonempty subset of N. Lemma 5.8 tells us that each component of N' is a mixed foliate CR-submanifold $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$.

If c > 0, then N is totally real (Lemma 4.3). Thus (5.26) gives

(5.28)
$$(X\lambda)\langle Y, Z\rangle - (Y\lambda)\langle X, Z\rangle = 0,$$

for any vectors X, Y in TN, and Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} . Because $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{D}_{x}^{\perp} = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} N \ge 2$ and λ^{2} is differentiable, (5.28) implies that λ is a nonzero constant on N. Thus by (5.27) we get $fD\bar{\eta}=0$.

If c < 0, then Proposition 4.4 and Lemma 5.8 show that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{D}_x^{\perp} = p > 1$. Thus for any unit vector Z in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} there exists a unit vector W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} so that $\langle Z, W \rangle = 0$. From (5.26) we find

(5.29)
$$Z(\lambda^2) = 0 \quad \text{for } Z \in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}.$$

Let X and Z be any unit vector fields in $\mathfrak D$ and $\mathfrak D^\perp$ respectively. Then (5.26) gives

$$(5.30) X(\lambda)^2 = 2\lambda^2 \langle X, \nabla_Z Z \rangle.$$

On the other hand, for such X and Z we have

$$\langle X, \nabla_Z Z \rangle = \langle JX, \tilde{\nabla}_Z JZ \rangle = -\langle A_{JZ} Z, JX \rangle = -\langle \sigma(Z, JX), JZ \rangle.$$

Thus by using (5.30), Lemma 5.8, and the continuity of λ^2 we get $X(\lambda^2) \equiv 0$ for any vector X in \mathfrak{D} . Combining this with (5.29), we conclude that λ is a nonzero constant on N. The equation $fD\bar{\eta} = 0$ then follows from (5.27).

Lemma 5.10. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then the sectional curvature of N satisfies

$$(5.31) K(Z \wedge W) = \lambda^2$$

for any orthonormal vectors Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} .

Proof. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then N is mixed foliate (Lemma 5.8). For any vector U in TN, $PU \in \mathfrak{D}$. Thus for any orthonormal vectors Z, W in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp} , (5.20) of Lemma 5.9 gives

$$F(R(Z,W)Z) = -\lambda^2 FW.$$

From this we obtain (5.31).

Now we give the following classification theorem.

Theorem 5.11. Let N be a CR-submanifold in a complex-space-form $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Then N has semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ if and only if N is one of the following:

- (1) a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^h(c)$,
- (2) a flat totally real submanifold of a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^p(c)$ of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$,
- (3) a proper anti-holomorphic submanifold with flat normal connection in a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^{h+p}(c)$ of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$,
- (4) a space of positive constant sectional curvature immersed in a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\tilde{M}^{p+1}(c)$ of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ with flat normal connection as a totally real submanifold.

Proof. Let N be a CR-submanifold with semi-flat normal connection in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If N is a complex submanifold of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$, N is a totally geodesic complex submanifold of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ (Lemma 5.5). Thus N is itself a complex-space-form $\tilde{M}^h(c)$.

Assume that N is not a complex submanifold of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. Then p > 0, and there exists a unit normal vector field $\bar{\eta}$ satisfies (5.18) and (5.19) for some constant λ (Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8).

If $\lambda = 0$ and N is totally real, (5.20) shows that N is flat.

If $\lambda = 0$ and N is neither complex nor totally real, then N lies in a totally geodesic complex submanifold $\hat{M}^{h+p}(c)$ as an anti-holomorphic submanifold (Lemma 5.9). In this case, (5.1) implies that N has flat normal connection.

If $\lambda \neq 0$, Lemma 5.9 gives

$$(5.32) D_X \bar{\eta} \in J \mathfrak{N}^{\perp}$$

for any vector X in TN. On the other hand, Lemma 5.7 also gives

$$(5.33) D_X J \bar{\eta} = \tilde{\nabla}_X J \bar{\eta} = -J A_{\bar{n}} X + J D_X \bar{\eta}.$$

From Lemma 5.7 and (5.32) we see that $A_{\bar{\eta}}X \in \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}$, $JD_X\bar{\eta} \in TN$. Thus (5.33) gives

$$(5.34) D\bar{\eta} \equiv 0.$$

Now, since N is mixed foliate (Lemma 5.8), the holomorphic distribution is integrable. Let N^T be a leaf of \mathfrak{D} . Denote by A^T and D^T the second fundamental tensor and normal connection of N^T in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ as before. Then we have

$$-A_{\bar{\eta}}^T X + D_X^T \bar{\eta} = \tilde{\nabla}_X \bar{\eta} = -A_{\bar{\eta}} X + D_X \bar{\eta} = 0 \text{ for } X \in TN^T$$

by virtue of (5.34) and Lemma 5.7. This shows that $\bar{\eta}|_{N^T}$ is parallel in the normal bundle of N^T in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. This contradicts a theorem of [5] unless N is totally real in $\tilde{M}^m(c)$. If N is totally real, N is of positive constant sectional curvature λ^2 (Lemma 5.10), and N has flat normal connection (Definition 5.1).

From (5.33) and (5.34) we find

$$(5.35) D_X J \bar{\eta} = -J A_{\bar{n}} X \in J \mathfrak{N}^{\perp}$$

for any vector X in TN. Therefore by (5.21) of Lemma 5.9, (5.34) and (5.35), we see that $\mu = J^{\mathfrak{D}}^{\perp} \oplus \operatorname{Span}\{\bar{\eta}, J\bar{\eta}\}$ is a parallel normal subbundle, and $\mu \supseteq \operatorname{Im} \sigma$. From these we conclude that N lies in a totally geodesic complex submanifold $M^{p+1}(c)$ of $\tilde{M}^m(c)$ as a totally real submanifold with flat normal connection.

The converse of this is trivial.

Remark 5.1. From Lemma 5.9 it follows that the assumption of compactness in Theorem 2 of [7] can be omitted.

References

- [1] A. Bejancu, CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold. I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1978) 135-142.
- [2] A. Bejancu, M. Kon & K. Yano, CR-submanifolds of a complex space form, J. Differential Geometry 16 (1981) 137-145.

- [3] E. Calabi, Metric Riemann surfaces, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1953, 77–85.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold. I, J. Differential Geometry 16 (1981) 305-322.
- [5] B. Y. Chen & K. Ogiue, Some extrinsic results for Kaehler submanifolds, Tamkang J. Math. 4 (1973) 207–213.
- [6] K. Yano & M. Kon, CR-sous-variétés d'un espace projectif complexe, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 288 (1979) 515-517.
- [7] K. Yano, M. Kon & I. Ishihara, Anti-invariant submanifolds with flat normal connection, J. Differential Geometry 13 (1978) 577-588.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY